3 Socio-economic aspects

3.1 INTRODUCTION

3.1.1 This chapter provides an assessment of the likely socio-economic aspects of the proposed development described within ES chapter 2. It follows the 2016 Scoping Report prepared by the Applicant and the Scoping Opinion issued by Secretary of State for Transport. The assessment sets out the anticipated employment requirements and economic effects when the Proposed Development, i.e., the SRFI, is complete and operating at its potential capacity. The additional employment and economic effects likely to be generated as an indirect effect are also estimated.

3.1.2 The chapter comprises the following sections:

- **Policy context**: an overview of the strategic initiatives relevant to the Proposed Development;
- **Method of assessment**: an overview of the approach adopted;
- **Baseline conditions**: an assessment of the prevailing socio-economic condition in the Study Area in terms of: demographic profile, economic activity, unemployment, deprivation, skills and occupational structure.
- **Assessment**: a statement of likely impacts in relation to the proposed development arising during both construction and operation including employment impacts, economic activity;
- **Mitigation/enhancement measures**: to enhance the potential benefits;
- **Residual effects**: an outline of the residual effects of the Proposed Development once additional measures haven been implemented;
- **Cumulative effects**: development in operation;
- **Conclusion**: an overall indication of the socio-economic effects of the Proposed Development.

3.2 POLICY CONTEXT

West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy Local Plan¹

3.2.1 The West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy Local Plan Part 1 (WNJCS) was adopted in December 2014 and is a key part of the Development Plan for South Northamptonshire, with a plan period to 2029. Policy S7 indicates that “provision will be made for a minimum net increase of 28,500 jobs in the period 2008-2029 in order to maintain a broad balance between homes and jobs and to maintain a diverse economic base”. Paragraph 8.16 of the Core Strategy states: “at the regional level, there is strong support for further rail related strategic distribution development and that further provision should be made in the West Northamptonshire area”. The Core Strategy identifies a strategic allocation for additional employment land at a location adjacent to the east of Junction 16 of the M1 Motorway (Policy E8 – Northampton Junction 16 Strategic Employment Site).

3.2.2 A South Northamptonshire Local Plan Part 2, which will build on the WNJCS and set out policies to guide the planning decision-making process across South Northamptonshire, is also in preparation. It is anticipated that this document will become part of the development plan by the end of 2018.

National Policy Statement

3.2.3 The National Policy Statement for National Networks (NPSNN) provides guidance for applicants for NSIP projects. While Section 5 of the NPS contains information about generic impacts, it focuses on a range of environmental impacts and issues and does not contain any specific guidance on assessing socio-economic impacts as part of the application process. However, the NPS as a whole is clear about the economic role and importance of SRFIs and the role they play as part of the national transport

¹ http://www.westnorthamptonshirejpu.org/connect.ti/website
networks which stimulate and support local and national economic growth.

**National Planning Policy Framework**

3.2.4 The National Planning Policy Framework (NPPF) seeks to support sustainable development and economic growth. Specifically, paragraph 18 states that: “the government is committed to securing economic growth in order to create jobs and prosperity, building on the country’s inherent strengths and to meeting the twin challenges of global competition and of a low carbon future”. Paragraph 19 states that: “The Government is committed to ensuring that the planning system does everything it can to support sustainable economic growth. .... Therefore significant weight should be placed on the need to support economic growth through the planning system.”

3.2.5 Paragraph 17 of the NPPF sets out a number of core land use planning principles that should underpin decision taking, including to: “proactively drive and support sustainable economic development to deliver the homes, business and industrial units, infrastructure and thriving local places that the country needs. Every effort should be made objectively to identify and then meet the housing, business and other development needs of an area, and respond positively to wider opportunities for growth.”

**South Northampton Council Economic Development Strategy**

3.2.6 An Economic Development Strategy for 2016-2019 (*Delivering Economic Growth for South Northamptonshire*) was adopted by the Council in July 2016. The strategy sets out the economic development priorities for the District:

- Improved skills and employment
- Effective business support
- Strengthening the town and village economies
- Supporting the visitor economies.

3.2.7 A number of actions are set out for each of the priorities, those that are relevant to this scheme are as follows:

- Work with partners to prepare young people for work and retain future workforce by increasing take up of apprenticeships across the district for all ages;
- Ensuring the delivery of new strategic employment sites;
- Build on our locational advantage to grow the Logistics sector while protecting what is special about the District by enabling growth in appropriate locations around the M1;
- Work with local Logistics businesses to ensure vacancies can be filled through the SNC Job Club and Job Match Service;
- Address the Logistics skills issues through partnership with resources outside the District.

**Local Enterprise Partnerships**

3.2.8 South Northampton (and the Proposed Development site) sits within an area covered by the South East Midlands Local Enterprise Partnership (SEMLEP). SEMLEP aspires to be ‘one of the most innovative, successful and high performing local enterprise partnerships in England, as measured in over all terms, by growth in Gross Value Added per head relative to other LEPs and as demonstrated by the effective collective leadership provided by local authority and private sector partners. SEMLEP emphasises the importance of economic growth, where the next challenge for the LEP area is to increase growth in key sectors in conjunction with development of suitably skilled workforce.

3.2.9 It is estimated that around 11% of all workers are employed in the logistics sector in the South-East Midlands area. With employment of over 16,000 people in the sector (in 2012), Northampton is 2nd only

---


3 The Northamptonshire Enterprise Partnership (NEP), which also used to cover South Northamptonshire, has merged with SEMLEP; both have been operating as a single LEP serving the South East Midlands since October 2016.
to Milton Keynes, and considerably higher than other locations such as Daventry with 7,700 employed and South Northamptonshire which has 2,800 people employed in the logistics sector.

3.2.10 A 2013 report by SEMLEP on the Logistics Sector\(^4\) identified three areas which will serve to improve the economic wellbeing of the individuals and employers in the SEMLEP area. The first is to attract new recruits into the industry, not only to achieve a reduction in the age profile, but to improve the gender and minority balance. Second, SEMLEP identify a need to develop people so they can progress up the career ladder, or avoid the need to leave the sector. Third, the provision of support in skills training.

3.3 METHODOLOGY

Development assessed

3.3.1 Chapter 2 of the ES sets out the details of the Proposed Development which has been used in undertaking the assessment. In the context of the socio-economic analysis it is important to note that the following assumptions have been made:

- The Main Site would include a total floor space of up to 623,000 m\(^2\) gross internal area (GIA), including 155,000 m\(^2\) of mezzanine floor space;
- Because employment densities are based on gross external area, the GIA above has been converted to GEA assuming that GIA is 95% of GEA (623,000/95x100 = 655,789 m\(^2\) GEA);
- The Main Site would commence operation in 2021, with construction completed over a period of 5-years;
- The Proposed Development Site is in arable production and does not support a significant level of employment;
- The Proposed Development includes the capability to provide a rail freight terminal, which is shown on the Parameters Plan to comprise up to 1,858 m\(^2\) floor space (GIA), which is equivalent to 1,955 m\(^2\) GEA.

Geographic scope of the assessment

3.3.2 The Study Area used for this assessment is shown as the yellow area on Figure 3.1. It comprises the local authority areas of South Northamptonshire Council, Northampton Borough Council, Daventry District Council, the Borough Council of Wellingborough, Kettering Borough Council, and Milton Keynes Council. Whilst the proposed development lies at the northern edge of South Northampton District, a small part of the application site area lies within Northampton Borough.

3.3.3 The spatial scope of the Study Area has been derived using the 2011 Census Travel to Work data, combined with information utilised in the transport assessment, such as drive times and commuting patterns. The origins of trips from home by 21,000 individuals to work within the area covered by Middle Layer Super Output Areas Northampton 028 and 029 have been used. Approximately 65% of these trips originate from within the Northampton area, and overall, some 90% originate from addresses in the six local authority areas making up the Study Area.

3.3.4 The overall Study Area and the District Areas are shown in Figure 3.1. The Local Study Area, which covers the four Parishes of Courteenhall, Roade, Blisworth and Milton Maisor is shown shaded pink on Figure 3.2 below. This comprises three Lower Super Output Areas of South Northamptonshire (003A, 003C and 003I) which have been used to source data from ONS.

3.3.5 The scale of the receptors used in the assessment are shown in Table 3.1.

**Table 3.1 Scale of receptor**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Level</th>
<th>Scale</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study Area</td>
<td>Effects at the scale of six Local Authorities (Figure 3.1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>District</td>
<td>Effects principally in the area of Northampton and South Northamptonshire</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Local</td>
<td>Parishes of Courteenhall, Roade, Blisworth and Milton Maisor</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3.6 The temporal scope for the assessment takes into account the temporary and permanent impacts of the development, using the following timescales to indicate the duration of an effect:

- Short term: 0 - 5-years, immediate impacts;
- Medium term: 5 - 12-years;
- Long term: 12 - 20-years, and beyond, permanent impacts.

3.3.7 The following terms are used to indicate the magnitude of an effect:

- Major: effects at the Regional scale / of long-term duration;
- Moderate: effects at the District scale / of medium-term duration;
- Minor: effects at the Local scale / of short to medium-term duration.

3.3.8 Determining the level of significance in the assessment is approached using professional judgement of factors, including the sensitivity and scale of the receptor, the magnitude (amount of change) of the impact and its duration. Qualitative assessment has been used where possible and significance criteria have been defined in an attempt to present a consistent identification of effects applied during the assessment. This is summarised in Table 3.2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Magnitude of impact</th>
<th>Major</th>
<th>Moderate</th>
<th>Minor</th>
<th>Negligible</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>

Table 3.2 Significance of effect
3.3.9 Effects determined as being Moderate or greater are considered to be significant for the purpose of the assessment, as indicated by the shaded cells.

**Information used to inform the assessment**

3.3.10 Baseline information on the conditions of the area has been collated from a variety of sources referenced in the text, including: National Census (2011) and other information produced by the Office for National Statistics (ONS); NOMIS: labour market statistics; Index of Multiple Deprivation (2010); South Northamptonshire Council: Economic Development Strategy for 2012 – 2015 & State of the District’s Economy 2013/14; Northamptonshire Analysis; and South East Midlands LEP.

3.3.11 A broad quantitative ‘baseline’ of socio-economic conditions has been identified. Data is provided at a Local Authority, County or Regional level information as appropriate to give context to the various figures. The methodology for assessing economic impacts has involved an analysis of the current state of the local economy including unemployment and general employment provision and workforce skills, an assessment of the proposal and the indirect effects it may have on the local economy.

3.3.12 Many social and community effects are, by definition, complex, interrelated, and difficult to characterise or measure in a precise way. As such, it is not appropriate to undertake quantitative analysis of all social effects, as many of the benefits and costs that arise relate to the quality of life and are thus subjective. Due to these complexities and the numerous interactions that can occur, it is not possible to predict the precise scale of each impact. The level of significance of an impact will be determined through professional judgement of factors including sensitivity of the receptor group, the magnitude (amount of change) of the impact and its duration. As such, effects have mainly been identified qualitatively in terms of whether they are major, moderate, minor or negligible, and beneficial or adverse. However, where it has been possible, quantitative analysis has been undertaken, for example the number of direct and indirect jobs to be created by the proposed development.

**Construction employment**

3.3.13 An estimate of the number of construction workers that would be required is made using information published in 2011 by The Homes & Communities Agency (HCA). The HCA used a range of sources to derive coefficients for the number of workers required over one year to deliver £1.0 million of construction investment. For private commercial development, a coefficient of 16.6 is provided, and for infrastructure, a coefficient of 13.9 is given. Detailed development costs have not yet been calculated for the Proposed Development, but an initial estimate of £400 million has been used in this assessment.

3.3.14 By necessity, construction site workers are highly mobile, travelling between sites as contracts require. Research for the Construction Industry Training Board indicates that the average (mean) distance for travelling from home to site was 22 miles (62% travelled less than 20 miles).

**Employment Densities**

3.3.15 Employment densities refer to the floor space that is required on average per full-time equivalent (FTE)
member of staff for different business activities. This can be used as a guide to estimate the number of employees that could be accommodated in buildings that are not yet constructed or occupied. Warehouse and distribution use has a lower employment density than light industry, which in turn has fewer staff for any given area than office uses. This assessment uses the HCA guidance (Employment Densities Guide 2015\(^9\)) to assign the job densities for the Main Site proposals.

3.3.16 The 2015 HCA Guide updates the previous 2010 guidance on the Storage and Distribution Sector in response to changes in demand placed upon the network of distribution spaces. The analysis supporting the HCA guidance found that *whilst some factors have decreased the density of employment (such as increased automation within the order picking activity) these have been more than offset by the wider range of job roles required to ensure the distribution facility functions. Similarly changing shift patterns towards 24 hour working as distribution needs increase are also offsetting reductions in the number of workers per shift. ...Furthermore, the data shows a reduction in the proportion of workers employed at the lowest levels of ‘warehouse staff’ decreasing from 68% to 43% of the total workforce. This fall has been offset by increases in the share of workers within admin, managerial and ‘other’ roles. Given the shifts in the sector’s occupational profile it is unsurprising that actual employment densities have risen in recent years. ...Despite increased mechanisation and deployment of technology the data suggests that as logistics becomes more specialised both a greater number of employees and range of skills are required to operate a modern distribution facility.*'

3.3.17 Three job densities are identified in the HCA Guide: National Distribution Centres - 95m\(^2\) per job; Regional Distribution Centres - 77m\(^2\) per job; and Final Mile Distribution Centres - 70m\(^2\) per job. Whilst the occupiers at the Main Site are not yet known, the Proposed Development is likely to contain a mixture of national and regional distribution centres. Given the findings of the HCA Guide that a greater number of employees are required to operate a modern distribution facility, it is considered appropriate to estimate the job capacity of the Proposed Development using the density of 77m\(^2\) per job. This has been applied to the ground floor space (468,000m\(^2\) GIA\(^10\)) to indicate the job creation in the study area. However, it is not considered appropriate to apply the same job density to the element of mezzanine floorspace included in the assessment (155,000m\(^2\) GIA): for this area a 50% lower density of has been used - 154m\(^2\) floorspace per job.

3.3.18 To predict the job types that would be required in the Proposed Development, the Prologis Technical Note\(^11\) on employment generation from distribution warehouses has been used to inform this assessment. Prologis has periodically collected empirical data from the occupiers of 88 units. The research by Prologis indicates the types of jobs required to operate distribution centres: the majority of staff (50%) work in the warehouse, drivers account for 8% of staff, 13% have office-based roles and 8% are managerial staff, with 21% represented in other categories such as IT, customer services, sales and engineering functions.

**Additionality**

3.3.19 Additionality is a process of assessing the effect of regeneration projects that has been developed by English Partnerships (EP) and advocated by both HM Treasury\(^12\) and the Office of the Deputy Prime Minister (ODPM)\(^13\). EP's additionality guide\(^14\) sets out a methodology for assessing a variety of potential impacts through a common framework.

3.3.20 In simple terms, the methodology compares the impact of a project in terms of increasing output or

---


\(^10\) Gross Internal Area. GIA has been factored up to Gross External Area to correspond with the HCA guide: applying GIA as 95% of GEA


\(^12\) ODPM. 2004. Assessing the Impacts of Spatial Interventions: Regeneration, Renewal and Regional Development.

employment to the situation without the intervention (i.e. making allowances for what would happen in
its absence). The difference is the net additional effect of the project, or the effect that can be attributed
to the project that would not have occurred otherwise.

3.3.21 A number of parameters are used to describe the effect of a project and the baseline so they can be
compared on a like for like basis. In the EP guide, those relevant to the project are defined as:

- Leakage: “the proportion of outputs that benefit those outside of the projects target area”. For
example, the number of jobs that are filled by people outside the Study Area.
- Displacement: “the proportion of the projects outputs/outcomes accounted for by reduced
outputs/outcomes elsewhere in the target area”. For example, the amount of a new business’ income
is likely to be generated from competition with similar businesses in the Study Area.
- Economic Multiplier Effects: “further economic activity (jobs, expenditure, income) associated with
additional local income and local supplier purchases”.

Gross value added (GVA)

3.3.22 GVA is a measure of the contribution to the economy of a business or industry. It is used as a headline
indicator to monitor economic performance at regional and national level (GVA per head of population).
It can also be used at the project level to provide an indication of the workplace income (wages and
profits) generated in the process of producing goods and services (GVA per filled job).

3.3.23 The ONS data for West Northamptonshire (NUTS3 code UKF24\(^{15}\)) in 2015 shows that the GVA per
filled job was £46,204. This figure will be used with the net FTE employment that could be
accommodated within the completed development to provide an indication of the overall GVA that could
be added into the economy.

3.4 BASELINE CONDITIONS

Site context

3.4.1 Located adjacent to Junction 15 of the M1 motorway, the Main Site is within the administrative area of
South Northamptonshire Council. The land required for the Proposed Development is predominantly in
agricultural use, with a low level of related employment. Information on the farming operations is
presented in ES chapter 13.

Economy

3.4.2 The South East Midlands LEP consists of 11 local authority areas, representing a population of 1.7
million\(^{16}\). Key sectors for economic growth are: the automotive trade, business and other services,
construction, engineering, food and drink manufacturing, information and communication, recreation,
tourism and hospitality, and transport and logistics.

3.4.3 The Transport and Logistics sector is a significant employer within the LEP area, accounting for 4% of
employment and generating 5% of the South East Midlands area’s GVA\(^{17}\). According to SEMLEP,
productivity in the sector (GVA per employee in 2012)\(^{18}\) was above the South East Midlands average.
This is reflected in the ‘Location Quotient’ for the Logistics sector, which has a highest share of
employment within the South East Midlands compared to that throughout England. This indicates the
important contribution that the sector makes to the economy in the Study Area.

---

\(^{15}\) UKF24 covers South Northamptonshire, Northampton and Daventry
\(^{16}\) SEMLEP, Strategic Economic Plan 2015-2020
\(^{17}\) SEMLEP, Strategic Economic Plan Evidence Base, page 12
\(^{18}\) idem
Population

3.4.4 At the time of the 2011 Census data, the population of the Study Area (Figure 3.1) was 792,753. Table 3.3 outlines the population figures for each local authority making up the Study Area and shows that the population of the area grew from 707,009 in 2001 to 828,300,300 in 2015, which represents a 17% increase.

Table 3.3 Population

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Study Area</th>
<th>2001</th>
<th>2011</th>
<th>2015*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daventry</td>
<td>71,838</td>
<td>77,843</td>
<td>80,000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kettering</td>
<td>81,844</td>
<td>93,475</td>
<td>97,700</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northampton</td>
<td>194,458</td>
<td>212,069</td>
<td>222,500</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Northamptonshire</td>
<td>79,293</td>
<td>85,189</td>
<td>89,100</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellingborough</td>
<td>72,519</td>
<td>75,356</td>
<td>77,200</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton Keynes</td>
<td>207,057</td>
<td>248,821</td>
<td>261,800</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Total</strong></td>
<td><strong>707,009</strong></td>
<td><strong>792,753</strong></td>
<td><strong>828,300</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Local study area

| LSOA South Northamptonshire 003A | 1,785 | 1,804 |
| LSOA South Northamptonshire 003C | 1,643 | 1,741 |
| LSOA South Northamptonshire 003I | 1,552 | 1,539 |

Source: ONS Census 2001 (KS001), 2011 (KS101EW) and *mid-year estimate

3.4.5 In terms of future growth, the West Northamptonshire Joint Planning Unit (representing South Northamptonshire, Northampton and Daventry Councils) has published forecasts of population, household and the labour force in support of the West Northamptonshire Joint Core Strategy (JCS) over the plan period (to 2029) and beyond\(^19\).

3.4.6 The forecast growth of the population in South Northamptonshire between 2011 and 2029 is an additional 15,890 people, and for Northampton, 48,580. This represents a growth of 18% and 22% respectively to 2029. These population projections and most likely patterns of future household formation rates have been used in the JCS to inform the spatial distribution of future housing provision. Principally this will be delivered via eight Sustainable Urban Extensions around Northampton, Daventry, Towcester and Brackley.

3.4.7 The population in the locality of the application site is set to increase significantly over the period to 2029 and beyond, thereby fulfilling its regional role as the principal centre for Northamptonshire.

3.4.8 Milton Keynes is also an area where high population growth is forecast (one of the fastest in England), with its population expected to grow to 302,100 by 2026, or an increase of 49,700 (19.7%) between 2012 and 2026\(^20\).

3.4.9 Table 3.4 below shows the age structure of the population within the Study Area: people of working age (16-64) currently represent 65% of the population.

Table 3.4 Population age structure

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Study Area</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>0-15</td>
<td>163,456</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16-64</td>
<td>518,667</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>65+</td>
<td>110,630</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>All ages</td>
<td>792,753</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ONS Census 2011 (KS102EW)


Employment

3.4.10 Employment activity is an important indicator of the general economic characteristics of an area. In 2015, 81.8% of the working age population (aged 16 to 64) in the Study Area was ‘economically active’, which is higher than the national average (78.3% in England in 2015)\(^2\).

Unemployment

3.4.11 An indication of the level of unemployment in the area is provided by the Claimant Count. This provides a record of the number of people claiming Jobseekers Allowance, plus those who claim Universal Credit and who are required to seek and be available for work. Table 3.5 outlines the claimant count within each of the local authorities of the Study Area. For comparison, the rate across England in August 2017 was 2.2%.

Table 3.5 Claimant count (August 2017)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Authority</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Rate (% of resident population aged 16-64)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daventry</td>
<td>860</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kettering</td>
<td>1,055</td>
<td>1.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northampton</td>
<td>2,655</td>
<td>1.89%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Northamptonshire</td>
<td>315</td>
<td>0.6%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellingborough</td>
<td>890</td>
<td>1.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton Keynes</td>
<td>2,660</td>
<td>1.6%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Income

3.4.12 Across the Study Area, the median average gross weekly income for those in full-time employment varies between the different local authorities from £477 in Wellingborough to £596 in South Northamptonshire, with the average weekly income for the Study Area overall being £529. This is slightly below the national average of £544 (England).

Table 3.6 Gross average weekly income across the Study Area and in England

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local authority</th>
<th>Full Time Worker</th>
<th>Part Time Worker</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daventry</td>
<td>£531.1</td>
<td>£210.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kettering</td>
<td>£512.3</td>
<td>£157.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northampton</td>
<td>£505.1</td>
<td>£162.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Northamptonshire</td>
<td>£596.2</td>
<td>£171.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellingborough</td>
<td>£477.3</td>
<td>£143.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton Keynes</td>
<td>£557.1</td>
<td>£199.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average Study Area</td>
<td>£529.9</td>
<td>£174.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>England</td>
<td>£544.7</td>
<td>£177.2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: ONS Annual survey of hours and earnings – resident analysis 2016

Occupations

Management

3.4.13 Upon completion, the project will lead to the creation of managerial posts. Figure 3.3 indicates that the Study Area has a higher proportion of Managers and Directors employed in the Transport and Logistics sector than England, which reflects the fact that this industry is one of the biggest employers in the local area.
3.4.14 According to the Census 2011, 3,436 individuals are Managers and Directors in Transport and Logistics (SOC22 Minor Group 116) within the Study Area.

**Professional, technical and sales occupations**

3.4.15 Upon completion, the project will lead to job creation within the professional, technical and sales occupations. Figure 3.4 below shows that there are more individuals employed as Information Technology and Telecommunications Professionals in the Study Area, compared to England. For all the other associate and sales occupations, the figures are comparable at the national and study area levels.

---

22 Standard Occupational Classification
3.4.16 Within the Study Area, there is a total of 38,2789 individuals employed in these occupations:

- 11,152 Information and Technology Telecommunications Professionals (SOC minor group 213)
- 3,328 Science, Engineering and Production Technicians (SOC minor group 311)
- 21,567 Sales Assistants and Retail Cashiers (SOC minor group 711)
- 2,231 Sales Related Occupations (SOC minor group 712).

**Process operatives**

3.4.17 During its operational phase, the businesses will employ process operatives such as mobile machine drivers and road transport drivers. Figure 3.5 shows that there is a slightly greater proportion of people employed in these occupations than in England, reflecting the prominence of the logistics sector in the Study Area.

![Figure 3.5 Process Operatives Occupations (% of working age residents 16 to 74)](chart)

**Figure 3.5 Process Operatives Occupations (% of working age residents 16 to 74)**

Source: ONS Census 2011 (QS606EW) - District level includes Northampton and South Northamptonshire

3.4.18 According to the Census 2011, 15,598 individuals in total are employed in these occupations within the Study Area.

**Elementary storage occupations**

3.4.19 Figure 3.6 demonstrates that there are proportionally more people employed in elementary storage occupations in the Study Area than in England.
3.4.20 In total, the Census 2011 reveals that 16,249 people in the Study Area are employed in these elementary storage occupations.

### Administrative occupations: records

3.4.21 Figure 3.7 demonstrates that there are proportionally more people employed in administrative occupations (records) in the Study Area than in England.

3.4.22 In total, the Census 2011 reveals that 6,992 people in the Study Area are employed in these administrative occupations.

3.4.23 Once the SRFI development becomes operational, the Prologis studies show that there will be a requirement for personnel within the following categories of employment:
• Sales (SOC 71)
• Customer services (SOC 72)
• Road transport drivers (SOC 821)
• Mobile machine drivers and operatives (SOC 822)
• Elementary storage occupations (SOC 926).

3.4.24 The 2011 Census indicates that within the Study Area:
• 26,041 individuals are employed in sales (SOC 71)
• 6,942 individuals are employed in customer services (SOC 72)
• 13,248 individuals are employed as road transport drivers (SOC 821)
• 2,350 individuals are employed as mobile machine drivers and operators (SOC 822)
• 16,249 individuals are employed in elementary storage occupations (SOC 926).

Qualifications and skills
3.4.25 Figure 3.8 below shows that:
• The proportion of individuals without qualifications is higher within the Local Study Area than the wider Study Area, District and in England;
• There is a slightly higher percentage of individuals in the Local Study Area with apprenticeships than in the Study Area and in England;
• In the Study Area, there are fewer individuals without qualification than in the rest of England.

Figure 3.8 Highest Level of Qualification
Source: ONS 2011 Census (KS501EW) - District level includes Northampton and South Northamptonshire

Health
3.4.26 In terms of health, the Study Area reflects the national averages, with the majority being in good health. The proportion of people being in bad health is also lower in the Study Area, compared to the national average.
Currently, this represents approximately 8,430 individuals on out of work benefits. The unemployment rate in South Northamptonshire is lower than in the rest of the Study Area. In part, this is attributable to the South Northamptonshire Job Clubs, Job matching service and Enterprise Club which have ensured that vacancies are kept as short as possible by matching skills requirements with those of unemployed people and those seeking to change career.

Deprivation

The English Indices of Deprivation 2015, published by the Department of Communities and Local Government (DCLG) is a comprehensive measure of deprivation for small areas (Lower-layer Super Output Areas) across England, bringing together 37 different indicators under the following ‘domains’: Income; Employment; Health and Disability; Education, Skills and Training; Barriers to Housing and Services; Living Environment; and Crime.

Data gathered for each of these can be represented as an overall ‘Index of Multiple Deprivation’ (IMD), which is created through a weighted combination of the domains to produce a score. The scores for local authority areas can be ranked, with 1 being the most deprived and 326 being the least deprived.

Table 3.7 Index of Multiple Deprivation

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Local Authority</th>
<th>Rank of Average Rank</th>
<th>Rank of Income</th>
<th>Rank of Employment</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Daventry</td>
<td>238</td>
<td>258</td>
<td>257</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kettering</td>
<td>168</td>
<td>152</td>
<td>148</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Northampton</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>144</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Northamptonshire</td>
<td>317</td>
<td>323</td>
<td>323</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wellingborough</td>
<td>133</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>113</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Milton Keynes</td>
<td>181</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: DCLG, IMD 2015

Of the local authorities in the Study Area, South Northamptonshire has a significantly higher score than the rest and is the least deprived authority within the Study Area, followed by Daventry (see also Figure 3.10).

Commuting
3.4.31 Within the Study Area, Census data shows that net commuting flows for South Northamptonshire are predominantly out of the area (c.11,000), some 4,000 to Milton Keynes and 2,500 to Northampton, with Daventry being the only area that has a small net flow into South Northamptonshire. There is also a net flow out to Cherwell District (not within the Study Area).

3.4.32 Commuting flows show that Northampton and Milton Keynes are key centres of employment, with each having greater number of movement commuting in than out. Northampton having a net flow in the region of +12,000 and Milton Keynes +16,000. Within the Study Area the greatest number of movements into Milton Keynes originate from South Northamptonshire, followed by Northampton.

3.4.33 Similarly, net flows into Northampton are greatest from South Northamptonshire and Wellingborough, followed by Daventry and Kettering. There is a net flow of commuters travelling from Northampton in the direction of Milton Keynes. Daventry, Kettering and Wellingborough each have a net outflow of commuters, with Northampton being the key destination from each of these areas.

3.5 ASSESSMENT DURING THE CONSTRUCTION PERIOD

3.5.1 The construction phase of development would require a range of professional and associated skills ranging from managerial roles to manual labour, with designers and engineers, construction workers and machine operatives.

3.5.2 With regard to the number of jobs supported over the construction phase, numbers employed will vary according to the nature of work being carried out during each phase of the construction. An estimate of construction employment has been made based on the projected total capital expenditure (construction cost) for the built development and supporting infrastructure. At this stage (August 2017) the total construction cost for the Proposed Development is projected to be in the order of £400 million.

3.5.3 Information used by the HCA\(^23\) indicates that to deliver £1.0 million of commercial development over 12 months requires 16.6 worker years, and for supporting infrastructure development, 13.9 worker years. Because at this stage a split between the elements of the scheme is not known, a blend of the two coefficients has been used. Applying a coefficient of 15 to a £400 million investment indicates that some 6,000 worker years would be required to deliver the project.

3.5.4 If the Proposed Development were to be constructed over a period of some five years, approximately 1,200 ‘worker years’ would be supported over this period. This is an average and in reality the number of people required will vary, with a mix of full-time, part-time, permanent and temporary contractors. If it is assumed that the average permanent job lasts for 10 years, then 10 worker years equate to one permanent job. Therefore the Proposed Development would directly support 120 jobs over the construction period.

3.5.5 Within the Study Area, there are some 28,000 skilled construction workers, including 3,600 in South Northamptonshire and 7,800 in the Borough of Northampton\(^24\). The proposed development will support the industry in which these people work, and given the skills resource identified it is considered that leakage will be negligible and construction employment is likely to be retained within the Study Area. Movement of people within companies or within a sector, is a possibility. However, it is likely that any displacement that does occur will be readily adjusted within the Study Area, with the result being an overall net increase in employment activity. Seventy four percent of construction personnel that are resident in the East Midlands area, also work in the region (\(^6\)CITB, 2015). This would suggest that a substantial proportion of the jobs in construction could be held within the local economy. As such,

\(^{23}\) HCA, 2015. Calculating Cost Per Job. Best Practice Note (3rd edition)

\(^{24}\) Occupation 2011 (KS605EW)
displacement is considered to be ‘low’ (10%) as defined in the English Partnerships Additionality Guide.

3.5.6 As well as providing direct income for employees, there will be a wider beneficial effect as a result of increased expenditure in the local supply chain to source materials, utilisation of local support services and facilities (such as plant hire) and increased spending by those in the construction workforce. Applying an employment multiplier of 1.29 to the FTE construction employment (ref. EP Additionality Guide) indicates that an additional 23 FTE jobs would be supported indirectly (Table 3.8, (H-E) 139 - 108 = 31). Given the current use of the Site there is no construction employment applicable to the baseline situation.

Table 3.8 Construction employment additionality (not permanent)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>1. Proposed construction</th>
<th>2. Existing baseline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A. Employment (FTE)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Leakage</td>
<td>0%</td>
<td>0%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Gross direct effect (A-B)</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Displacement</td>
<td>10%</td>
<td>10%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Net direct effect (C-D)</td>
<td>108</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Multiplier</td>
<td>1.29</td>
<td>1.29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Effect (E x F)</td>
<td>139</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Total FTE (G - baseline)</td>
<td>139</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.5.7 It is considered that the construction phase of the proposed development would have a beneficial effect on employment levels within the District Study Area, within which the effect would be minor and short-term (albeit 5-years), the significance is considered to be minor beneficial in nature.

3.6 ASSESSMENT OF THE COMPLETED SCHEME

Direct Employment

3.6.1 The 2015 HCA employment density guide advises that regional distribution centres typically accommodate 1 full time equivalent employee per 77m$^2$ of floor space (paragraph 3.3.18). The Proposed Development will create the equivalent gross external area of 492,600m$^2$ of floorspace plus 163,150m$^2$ mezzanine floor space (3.3.1). Applying a 1:77m$^2$ ratio to the floorspace and 1:154m$^2$ to the mezzanine indicates that when completed and operational, the Proposed Development would have the capacity to provide 7,457 FTE jobs. Whilst this is an indication based on established guidance, it is important to note that the overall capacity would grow incrementally as units become occupied, and that ultimately the number of employees could be higher or lower, and may also vary over time.

3.6.2 It is possible to estimate the approximate number of jobs created by job type. The Prologis research shows that the majority of staff (50%) are warehouse operatives, while drivers account for 8% of staff, administration and support for 13% of staff and managerial roles for 8%. In addition, 21% of staff work in other categories comprising IT, customer service, sales and engineering functions. It can therefore be estimated that the proposed development could create around:

- 3,729 warehouse jobs;
- 597 driver jobs;
- 969 administration and support roles;
- 597 managerial posts; and
- 1,566 other posts in IT, customer service, sales and engineering support.

3.6.3 The Prologis research found that 89% of employees were employed on a full time basis, with the remaining 11% working part-time. Therefore the Proposed Development has the potential to create up to 6,637 full time jobs and 1,640 part time jobs.

3.6.4 A further 87 staff would be required for a rail terminal and rapid rail freight facility, assuming that there would be an average of 29 staff for each of three shifts operating over a 24-hour period. It can therefore be seen that the completed project in operation would directly support 7,544 FTE jobs (7,457 + 87).

3.6.5 The job types and the split of full time and part time positions are shown below using the assumption that 2 part-time positions are equivalent to 1 FTE.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Job type</th>
<th>% of job type</th>
<th>Approx FTE jobs</th>
<th>Full time</th>
<th>Part time</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Warehouse staff</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>3,729</td>
<td>3,318</td>
<td>820</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Drivers</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Office staff</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>969</td>
<td>863</td>
<td>213</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Managers</td>
<td>8%</td>
<td>597</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>131</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IT, engineering, sales, customer services</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>1,566</td>
<td>1,394</td>
<td>345</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rail terminal staff</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td><strong>7,544</strong></td>
<td><strong>6,724</strong></td>
<td><strong>1,640</strong></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3.6.6 The Gross Value Added (GVA) to the UK economy associated with these employees has been estimated applying an annual GVA of £46,200 per filled job (ONS. NUTS3 UKF24). This would represent a contribution of some £348 million annually.

3.6.7 Economic circumstances could vary the rate at which companies take up available space. Nonetheless, the proposal will ultimately yield a beneficial effect for employment opportunities, providing a number of high quality, well-connected distribution centres in a strategic location. In summary, the direct economic effects of the scheme are considered to be long-term major beneficial at the regional level and therefore of major significance.

**Additionality**

Leakage of Employment

3.6.8 As outlined in the methodology section, the Study Area for the assessment has been derived from 2011 Census Travel to Work data combined with information prepared to inform the transport assessment. Approximately 60% of Travel to Work trips originate from within the Northampton area, and overall, some 90% originate from addresses in the local authority areas of South Northamptonshire Council, Northampton Borough Council, Daventry District Council, the Borough Council of Wellingborough, Kettering Borough Council, and Milton Keynes Council.

3.6.9 The Public Transport Strategy for the SRFI Main Site refers to Swan Valley business park as an example to give an indication of known workforce movements in a similar location. Census data shows that 60% of those travelling to Swan Valley for employment came from Northampton Borough, and 81% of employee trips originated within Northamptonshire.

3.6.10 It can therefore be considered that a significant majority of future employees will be located within the Study Area, with the majority of benefits remaining within this area. On this basis, leakage to areas beyond the Study Area has been set at 10%.

**Displacement**
3.6.11 There is a strong possibility that the development will lead to the movement of people between different companies and sectors. However, any displacement that may arise as a result of the development is likely to be readily adjusted with the result being a net increase in employment activity and a reduction in overall unemployment within the Study Area. As such, displacement has been set at 25% (‘low’).

Multiplier Effects
3.6.12 Based on the HCA Additionality Guide (2014), a multiplier of 1.44 is considered appropriate given the nature and scale of the development proposed. Such an investment into the economy will act as a stimulus to related businesses in the area.

Additionality Calculation
3.6.13 Table 3.10 sets out the additionality calculations. It is assumed there is no baseline employment as employment on the site is currently negligible. The calculation is based on a total of 7,544 employees (FTE) and £348 million GVA (paragraph 3.6.6).

3.6.14 The estimated net effect of the Proposed Development in the Study Area is employment equivalent to 7,332 full-time jobs, and an annual GVA of some £338 million.

Table 3.10 Employment additionality in the Study Area

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Stage</th>
<th>Employment, FTE</th>
<th>GVA £million</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1 Project</td>
<td>2 Baseline</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>A. Direct Employment</td>
<td>7,544</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B. Leakage (beyond the Study Area)</td>
<td>-10%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C. Gross Direct Effect (A - B)</td>
<td>6,790</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D. Displacement</td>
<td>-25%</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E. Effect in Study Area (C - D)</td>
<td>5,092</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>F. Multiplier (indirect effects)</td>
<td>x 1.44</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>G. Net Effect in Study Area (E x F)</td>
<td>7,332</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>H. Total Net Additional Effect (1 - 2)</td>
<td>7,332 FTE jobs</td>
<td>£338 million</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Workforce
3.6.15 Table 3.10 (C) shows that 90% of the workforce in the new development would be likely to come from within the six local authority areas: 7,544 x 0.9 = 6,790.

3.6.16 There is also the strong possibility that the development will lead to the movement of people between different companies and sectors, which is known as ‘displacement’. For our assessment this has been set at 25%. Applying this factor to the potential new workforce based in the Study Area suggests a need of some 5,100 (6,789 x 0.75 = 5,091).

3.6.17 The number of positions to be filled would progressively increase overall in line with the phased completion of the distribution warehousing. The detailed phasing of delivery has not yet been defined and will be largely market demand led. However, if the occupation of new premises commences in 2021/2022 with a steady increase in new floor space occupied thereafter through to 2025/2026, it can be envisaged that some 1,000 people based within the Study Area will be employed in the first year of operation, and increase by 1,000 annually through to 2025/2026.

Availability
3.6.18 As of August 2017, within the Study Area there was a total of some 8,400 people claiming benefits and in theory available to work. Most significantly, NOMIS official labour market statistics show c.2,655
claiming in Northampton and c.2,660 in Milton Keynes.

3.6.19 As an indication of individuals in the Study Area on benefits and seeking occupations in the categories typically required in the Proposed Development, early in 2017, 20 were seeking management positions, 20 in ICT, 240 were seeking employment in office/sales/customer services, 60 road transport drivers/mobile machine drivers and 740 in warehouse type occupations.

3.6.20 In respect of unemployment, this assessment acknowledges the low claimant rate that exists in South Northamptonshire. Unemployment within South Northamptonshire is consistently low (and the only local authority in the Study Area where unemployment did not increase over the preceding 6 month period). Within the Local Study Area, less than 1% of the working age population is claiming out of work benefits (c.20 individuals20).

3.6.21 Whist this is a snap-shot of the present situation, the need for new employees would arise several years from now, anticipated to be from 2021 through to 2026. If household deprivation is considered as an indicator of long-term unemployment, it gives an insight into where potential exists to target an element of potential future workforce. In the vicinity of the proposal, the locations of households that are recorded by Census data as ‘deprived’ in two dimensions (paragraph 3.4.29) tend to be concentrated within Northampton, the central and south side of Milton Keynes, and local areas within Daventry, Wellingborough and Kettering (red colour on Figure 3.10).

Figure 3.10 Household deprivation
[source: http://blog.datashine.org.uk/]

3.6.22 A substantial number of additional new homes are to be delivered during the WNJCS period,

20 Note: official labour market statistics are rounded to the nearest 5
concentrated primarily around Northampton, Daventry, Towcester and Brackley. Likewise, Milton Keynes will see the formation of some 1,500 new households each year. Overall, the housing delivery projections of the six planning authorities in the Study Area indicate the completion of some 4,000 to 7,000 new households each year through to 2026.

3.6.23 It is projected that 28,000 homes would be delivered from 2021/22 though to 2025/26, that period when the Proposed Development would be constructed and progressively occupied. Clearly this housing delivery is to meet planned population growth balanced with job creation, as well as an element of existing need. The proportion of potential future employees that might wish move to the area cannot be predicted in any reliable way, however, the sustained delivery of this number of new homes available in the open market, in particular, around Northampton would add to the availability in the potential workforce, and for people wishing to move to the area to take up new employment. Those in closest proximity to the Proposed Development being the sustainable urban extensions Northampton South and Northampton South of Brackmills (together 2,300 homes), and within South Northamptonshire, Towcester South.

3.6.24 Given the potential labour resource outlined it is expected that a significant proportion of the jobs available at the Proposed Development would be taken by people that are already resident within the Study Area, people changing jobs and moving house, or people unemployed and seeking work. Taking into account the future increase in housing supply, impact on housing demand is considered to be negligible at the Study Area scale.

Commuting patterns

3.6.25 The vehicles movements within the Northamptonshire Strategic Transport Model has been used to inform the Transport Assessment and indicate the areas from where trips that will be generated by the Proposal may originate. As would be expected, the patterns relate to the denser urban areas, which also correspond with the planned strategic urban extensions (committed developments) and the increasing number of households/workforce. In broad terms this indicates that some 40% of the commuting connects the Northampton area and areas to the north east; 20% from the area to the south east (M1 south); 25% from the north west (M1 north); and 10% from South Northamptonshire. Considering the known commuter flows and areas having greater unemployment/deprivation, the Public Transport Strategy has been prepared to link with areas where recruiting could be focussed and maximise the opportunities of the closest densely populated area (see below).

3.6.26 In terms of how this changes commuting, it is likely to reduce the amount of the net outward movements from South Northampton into Northampton and Milton Keynes. For Northampton, it may also potentially alter by reducing the net outward movements from Northampton to Milton Keynes.

Health and well-being

3.6.27 A review of the health of Britain's working age population was prepared for the Secretary of State for Health and the Secretary of State for Work and Pensions in 2008. It reported that around 175 million working days were lost to illness in 2006, representing a significant cost, not only economically, but also in terms of social exclusion. It also found that evidence suggests work can be good for health. Importantly, health and well-being is not just a medical issue, the nature and characteristics of the jobs that employees do are vitally important in terms of job satisfaction, reward, and control.

3.6.28 As there is a generally a healthy population within the Study Area (Figure 3.9) it is considered that the proposed development could have an indirect minor beneficial effect for the medium/long-term on the health and wellbeing of those taking up employment within the Proposed Development, representing a moderate/minor effect. The wider economic effect from the proposal has the potential to help reduce
deprivation in the area of influence.

3.7 MITIGATION MEASURES

Development construction

3.7.1 Whilst the effect on employment is considered to be beneficial and therefore specific mitigation measures are not necessary, there are enhancement measures that could be used to increase the positive aspects and potential supply chain benefits to local businesses, such as: use of local sourcing where possible to maximise the proportion of local employment; a recruitment/training programme with a focus on the South Northamptonshire Jobs Club; advertising jobs using Universal Jobmatch, and liaison with Jobcentre Plus in locations where deprivation has been identified (Figure 3.10);

3.7.2 The adoption of best practice in this way will ensuring that local businesses can be offered the opportunity of participating in its construction, to retain the economic benefit locally and to support job opportunities. This will be subject of further consideration as the means of procurement are considered and developed.

3.7.3 As the construction period will last several years, this presents the opportunity to establish training or apprenticeships for the types of trades that will be required. This could take place in conjunction with the local college and training centres. If necessary, specific courses could be established so that those taking part are ready for site work when the input from their trade is required.

Proposed Development in operation

Commuting

3.7.4 Whilst the implementation of a significant employment centre will influence the commuting patterns in the surrounding network, the Proposed Development has the benefit of being well-related to the M1 location and includes new infrastructure, road improvements and enhancements to improve walking and cycling routes to the site. Alongside this, a Public Transport Strategy (PTS) and Framework Travel Plan have been prepared.

3.7.5 Due to the distance from the centre of Northampton, it is considered that that travel by bus and car-share will be more prominent in staff trips, with modest numbers of staff walking and cycling to the Proposed Development. As public transport will play an important role in providing access for staff coming to the site, the PTS focuses specifically on local bus access and options to introduce a new service specifically for the Proposed Development as well as extending the existing local bus network with the provision of additional capacity and better infrastructure.

3.7.6 In coordination with this socio-economic assessment, the PTS has considered the travel-to-work patterns in the area, the distribution of unemployment - specifically in the Northampton area due to the potential workforce within a 60-minute bus journey, the potential demand for public transport trips, and importantly, the availability of a service that aligns with the timing of shift changes typical of SRFI operation. A flexible approach will allow for the most appropriate public transport solution to be implemented as each phase of the Proposed Development become operational.

3.7.7 A key outcome of the enhancement to public transport is to increase the number of people with bus access to the proposals. An indication of the geographic distribution of the likely travel times is illustrated by Figure 8-1 in the Public Transport Strategy. Importantly, these enhanced routes provide transport links between the area of highest population density and the proposal, as well as the housing delivery sites on the south east of Northampton (3.6.23). Furthermore, the enhanced services would connect to areas where a greater concentration of deprivation has been identified (Figure 3.10).

Employment and labour market
3.7.8 Figure 3.8 indicates that the locality has proportionally higher number of individuals without qualifications, when compared to the wider area and across England. A report by the LLEP in 2011\textsuperscript{28} found that although training and learning in the logistics sector has historically been low, this is changing, with over half of companies (51%) providing discretionary training. It also found that within the sector, there has been a low of take-up of publicly funded courses, in some instances because companies were not aware of what was available or because of the bureaucracy linked to accessing such training. Whilst the research found that the logistics sector favours training which is undertaken at local or specialist training providers, only 16% used Further Education colleges, which is significantly lower than the 28% across all sectors.

3.7.9 There may be opportunities for training/education providers to accommodate extra places on relevant courses/training programmes in order to respond to increased demand to address skill gaps. The provision of additional skills training will need to be coordinated between training providers and the future occupiers of the proposed development as in-house training programmes will influence the residual demand for ‘upskilling’.

3.7.10 Further detail of training programmes will be developed with input from potential occupiers, which will establish whether there is any basis for supporting new/enhanced training provision. With coordination of the training provision as described above, it is considered the potential barriers to training identified above can be avoided.

Housing

3.7.11 Taking into account the future increase in housing supply, impact on housing demand is considered to be negligible and no mitigation is necessary.

Health and wellbeing

3.7.12 The effect of the proposal on health and well being is considered to be minor beneficial and no specific enhancement measure are proposed. However, the highways works would improve road safety when compared to the baseline situation for road users. Pedestrian and cycle access will be available from Collingtree over the M1 to link with the proposed routes within the scheme. Making cycle use a safer more attractive option for trips to and from work has the potential to maintain and improve health. Cycle to work opportunities will be promoted through the Travel Plan along with incentive to support walking to work.

3.7.13 The footpath around the Proposed Development will be available for outdoor walk/exercise within the landscape and open space shown on the parameters plan.

3.8 RESIDUAL EFFECTS

Construction period

3.8.1 It is considered that with the additional enhancement measures the proposed development would have a beneficial effect on employment levels within the Study Area at the District scale, and as the effect would be short-term, the significance remains minor beneficial.

3.8.2 In terms of the skills upgrading that might be achieved during the construction process, those individuals that develop skills will be in a better position to continue in employment after construction of the scheme is complete. This is to their advantage and also of potential benefit to the general economy in the longer term.

Operation upon completion

\textsuperscript{28} The Freight Logistics and Wholesaling Industry (Sector Skills Council - Skills for Logistics, LLEP.
3.8.3 The completed development in operation could directly support around 7,544 full time equivalent jobs. Taking into account the potential effects of displacement and leakage of jobs, the total net additional employment to the Study Area as a result of the project is estimated to be some 5,092 FTE jobs, with a further 754 jobs benefiting those beyond the Study Area (the 10% leakage). Skills and qualification levels amongst the workforce would be improved through in-house and external training provision, which will result in a minor beneficial residual effect. The Gross Value Added (with no leakage) is estimated to be in order of £348 million annually.

3.8.4 This is considered to be a major beneficial effect over the long-term and therefore of major significance.

Housing

3.8.5 It is considered that the planned level of future housing growth in the area would be adequate to meet any additional demand associated with new staff required for the proposal. Taking into account the future increase in housing supply, impact on housing demand is considered to be negligible.

Commuting

3.8.6 The development of a new employment destination would alter the commuting patterns. The Public Transport Strategy proposes to increase the availability of bus access to the Proposed Development, limit car travel and actively support walking and cycling trips. This is considered to be a minor beneficial effect at the local level and therefore of minor significance.

Health and wellbeing

3.8.7 As there is a generally a healthy population within the Study Area it is considered that the proposed development could have an indirect minor beneficial effect on the health and wellbeing of those taking up the new jobs. Alongside this, the wider economic effect from the proposal has the potential to help reduce deprivation in the area of influence, principally Northampton.